

AUG 01 2006

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

HECTOR PAREDES VALENZUELA;
MARIA DEL SOCORRO PAREDES
CADENA,

Petitioners,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 05-70804

Agency Nos. A95-303-048
A95-303-049

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 24, 2006**

Before: ALARCÓN, HAWKINS and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Hector Paredes Valenzuela and his wife Maria del Socorro Paredes Cadena,
natives and citizens of Mexico, petition pro se for review of the Board of

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge’s decision denying their applications for cancellation of removal. We dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to review the agency’s discretionary determination that Paredes Valenzuela failed to show exceptional and extremely unusual hardship. *See Martinez-Rosas v. Gonzales*, 424 F.3d 926, 929-30 (9th Cir. 2005).

Cadena has not challenged the agency’s decision to reinstate an August 21, 1997 order of removal following her prior illegal reentry to the United States. Accordingly, we do not consider Cadena’s remaining challenges in her opening brief. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1231(a)(5) (reinstatement of a prior order of removal renders an alien ineligible for any relief).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.